



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Psychiatry

journal homepage: <http://www.europsy-journal.com>

Original article

Psychiatric disorders, suicidality, and personality among young men by sexual orientation

J. Wang^{a,b,*}, M. Dey^a, L. Soldati^c, M.G. Weiss^{b,d}, G. Gmel^e, M. Mohler-Kuo^a^a Institute for Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zurich, Switzerland^b Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Socinstrasse 57, 4002 Basel, Switzerland^c Department of Mental Health and Psychiatry, Geneva University Hospital, rue de Lausanne 20, 1201 Geneva, Switzerland^d University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland^e Alcohol Treatment Center, University Hospital of Lausanne, avenue Beaumont 21 bis, Pavillon 2, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 January 2014

Received in revised form 5 May 2014

Accepted 10 May 2014

Available online 24 June 2014

Keywords:

Depression

ADHD

Anti-social personality disorder

Suicidality

Personality

Homosexuality

ABSTRACT

Personality and its potential role in mediating risk of psychiatric disorders and suicidality are assessed by sexual orientation, using data collected among young Swiss men ($n = 5875$) recruited while presenting for mandatory military conscription. Mental health outcomes were analyzed by sexual attraction using logistic regression, controlling for five-factor model personality traits and socio-demographics. Homo/bisexual men demonstrated the highest scores for neuroticism-anxiety but the lowest for sociability and sensation seeking, with no differences for aggression-hostility. Among homo/bisexual men, 10.2% fulfilled diagnostic criteria for major depression in the past 2 weeks, 10.8% for ADHD in the past 12 months, 13.8% for lifetime anti-social personality disorder (ASPD), and 6.0% attempted suicide in the past 12 months. Upon adjusting (AOR) for personality traits, their odds ratios (OR) for major depression (OR = 4.78, 95% CI 2.81–8.14; AOR = 1.46, 95% CI 0.80–2.65) and ADHD (OR = 2.17, 95% CI = 1.31–3.58; AOR = 1.00, 95% CI 0.58–1.75) lost statistical significance, and the odds ratio for suicide attempt was halved (OR = 5.10, 95% CI 2.57–10.1; AOR = 2.42, 95% CI 1.16–5.02). There are noteworthy differences in personality traits by sexual orientation, and much of the increased mental morbidity appears to be accounted for by such underlying differences, with important implications for etiology and treatment.

© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

There is a growing body of evidence pointing to higher prevalences and risk of mood disorders, anxiety disorders, and suicidality among sexual minorities when compared to heterosexuals in the general population [25,40]. The discrepancy is especially pronounced among men. Meta-analyses of psychiatric epidemiological surveys among the general population yield odds ratios (OR) of 2.5–3.0 for lifetime major depression, 12-month major depression, and 12-month suicide attempt and OR = 4.3–5.0 for lifetime suicide attempt among gay/bisexual men.

Youth health studies have shown that the increased risk of suicidality and depression symptoms among sexual minorities is already evident during adolescence [30,35]. Surveys in gay community samples have indicated that both homosexual

developmental milestones – e.g., coming out – and psychiatric disorders/suicidality debut across childhood, adolescence, and young adulthood for most gay men [54,55], lending support to the timing hypothesis that the circumstances surrounding the former may provoke the latter in some people [41,61]. Since adolescent health surveys tend to assess symptomology rather than actual psychiatric disorders, actual evidence of different risk by sexual orientation for the latter remains limited.

Comprehensive reviews of the scientific literature in depression [10] and suicidality [18] list various socio-demographic, family/peer, and adverse life event factors, which account for greater risk among sexual minorities. However, the potential role of personality and personality disorders is not mentioned. Among the general population, the scientific literature has pointed to links between personality traits and personality disorders [11,44,51] on the one hand and between both of them and Axis I clinical disorders and suicidality [20,28,29,46,59] on the other. Studies have quantified the relative influence of genetic and environmental factors in both personality traits and psychiatric disorders [6]. Recently, twin studies have suggested common genetic factors

* Corresponding author. Institute for Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zurich, Switzerland. Tel.: +41 44 634 46 49; fax: +41 44 634 49 86.

E-mail address: jwang@ifspm.uzh.ch (J. Wang).

between sexual orientation and psychiatric disorders [64] on the one hand and personality [63] on the other, suggesting underlying vulnerability. In light of such findings, assessing the extent to which the latter may help account for the former among sexual minorities may be particularly informative. With its robust framework of broad higher order traits spanning both normal and abnormal psychology as well as positive and negative emotionality, personality may help shed further light on the causes of psychiatric disorders and suicidality among gay men, with important implications for onset, course, and treatment [26,46].

This paper addresses three psychiatric disorders in three different classes and suicide attempt as well as broad personality traits by sexual orientation. In addition to presenting:

- updated prevalences of major depression, suicide attempt, and ADHD by sexual orientation; it contributes novel findings to the scientific literature by presenting;
- prevalences and risk of anti-social personality disorder by sexual orientation and;
- population norms for five-factor model personality traits by sexual orientation, and;
- by assessing the potential mediating role of personality traits on increased risk of psychiatric disorders and suicide attempt among homo/bisexual men.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample

The Cohort Study on Substance Use Risk Factors (C-SURF) [3] is a cohort study of Swiss male nationals recruited when presenting for evaluation of fitness for compulsory military or civil service. Besides using three of the six-army recruitment centers to recruit a representative population sample covering 21 of the country's 26 cantons, the study team and data collection bear no connection with the armed forces. C-SURF was approved by the Ethics Committee for Clinical Research of the Lausanne University Medical School (Protocol No. 15/07). During baseline recruitment between August 2010 and November 2011, 13,245 men were informed about the study, 7563 (57.1%) agreed to participate with written consent, and 5990 (45.2% of those informed or 79.2% of those consenting) actually completed the baseline questionnaire.

2.2. Measures

The baseline questionnaire contains sections on socio-demographics, health, social context, substance use, personality and leisure activities, and sexuality. Within the sexuality section, sexual orientation was assessed by one question on sexual attraction: "People feel different about sexual preferences. How do you feel yourself? Do you feel attracted (A) only by women, (B) mostly by women, (C) equally by both women and men, (D) mostly by men, or (E) only by men?" One hundred and six respondents (1.8%) did not complete the last part of the section on personality and leisure activities nor the subsequent final section on sexuality. Among those who completed the entire questionnaire, only 9 men (0.2%) did not respond to the question on sexual attraction, leaving a final sample of 5875 men (98.1%) for these analyses.

The health and personality sections included the following mental health outcomes: quality of life, major depression, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), anti-social personality disorder (ASPD), and suicide attempt. The Medical Outcomes Study 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey (MOS SF-12) [58] yields the mental health component summary (MCS) score, a measure of

mental health status in the past 4 weeks, which is composed mainly of 6 items from 4 original sub-scales of the MOS SF-36: mental health, role-emotional, vitality, and social functioning. Major depression in the past 2 weeks was assessed using the 10-item Major Depression Inventory (MDI) [4]. Two scoring algorithms were used and reported here: (1) DSM-IV and (2) ICD-10 categories with mild, moderate, severe depression. ADHD in the past 12 months was assessed using the 6-item Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale Screener (ASRS-V1.1) [23] from the WHO Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) [22]. Two scoring algorithms were used and reported here: (1) 0–6 scoring approach according to the Screener and (2) 0–24 scoring approach with four strata [24]. Lifetime anti-social personality disorder (ASPD) was assessed with a 12-item instrument from the Mini-International Psychiatric Interview (MINI) [49]. Suicide attempt in the past 12 months was assessed with a single question adapted from the European School Survey Project on Alcohol and Other Drugs (ESPAD) [27].

The personality and leisure activities section included items pertaining to four personality traits. In accordance with the alternative five-factorial model of personality [65], neuroticism-anxiety, aggression-hostility, and sociability were each assessed with 10-item scales from the shortened Zuckerman-Kuhlman Personality Questionnaire (ZKPQ-50-cc) [2]. Sensation seeking was measured using the 8-item Brief Sensation Seeking Scale (BSSS) [19], which includes all 4 main facets: experience seeking, boredom susceptibility, thrill and adventure seeking, and disinhibition.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Macintosh version 19.0 (Chicago, IL, USA). In initial analyses, all 5 original categories of sexual attraction were used in order to examine potential between-group differences. Contingency tables and the χ^2 test were used to analyze nominal and ordinal variables. If any of the cells is less than 5, the Fisher's exact test is used. Continuous variables were analyzed using ANOVA with Tukey's test to correct for multiple pair-wise comparisons at $P < 0.05$. Cohen's d are calculated using means and standard deviations (SD). According to Cohen [9], 0.10 indicates a small effect size, 0.30 a medium effect size, and 0.50 a large effect size. Crude and adjusted odds ratios (OR/AOR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using logistic regression models for each of the main mental health outcomes separately, taking men who reported being attracted only to women as the reference category. In the first-stage models, sexual orientation was entered alone with a mental health outcome. In the second-stage models, sexual orientation was entered with a mental health outcome, whilst controlling for all socio-demographic variables. In the third-stage models, sexual orientation was entered with a mental health outcome, whilst controlling for all four personality traits. Nagelkerke r^2 are reported in the text.

Given interesting patterns between the 5 categories of sexual orientation for both mental health and personality, the findings are reported in the tables at this level. However, the pattern of statistical differences suggests the presence of three distinct groups: (A) men who reported being attracted only to women, (B) men who reported being attracted mostly to women, and (C–E) men who reported being attracted equally, mostly, or only to men. As such, all analyses were repeated with the independent variable sexual orientation in 3 categories. These findings are also reported in the results section.

3. Results

In this sample of young Swiss men, 91.4% of the respondents reported being attracted only to women (A). The remainder 8.6% reported any same-sex attraction: 5.6% reported being attracted

Table 1
Socio-demographic characteristics among young Swiss men by sexual orientation (attraction), C-SURF 2010–11.

| | A Only attracted to women (n = 5371) | | B Predominantly attracted to women (n = 337) | | C Attracted to both men and women (n = 64) | | D Predominantly attracted to men (n = 41) | | E Only attracted to men (n = 62) | |
|-------------------------------|--|---------|--|---------|--|---------|---|---------|--|---------|
| | % or M | n or SD | % or M | n or SD | % or M | n or SD | % or M | n or SD | % or M | n or SD |
| Age (mean/SD) | 20.0 | 1.22 | 20.1 | 1.31 | 19.8 | 1.05 | 19.6 | 1.00 | 20.4 | 1.37 |
| Education (highest completed) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary (ISCED 1–2) | 50.5 | 2658 | 48.5 | 159 | 58.1 | 36 | 51.2 | 21 | 26.2 | 16 |
| Secondary (ISCED 3–4) | 47.9 | 2522 | 50.3 | 165 | 41.9 | 26 | 46.3 | 19 | 67.2 | 41 |
| Tertiary (ISCED 5–6) | 1.6 | 82 | 1.2 | 4 | 0.0 | 0 | 2.4 | 1 | 6.6 | 4 |
| Education (current) | | | | | | | | | | |
| University | 7.3 | 390 | 10.1 | 34 | 9.4 | 6 | 7.3 | 3 | 19.4 | 12 |
| Family income | | | | | | | | | | |
| Below average | 14.3 | 765 | 17.0 | 57 | 18.8 | 12 | 14.6 | 6 | 16.1 | 10 |
| Average | 41.4 | 2216 | 36.9 | 124 | 39.1 | 25 | 46.3 | 19 | 33.9 | 21 |
| Above average | 44.3 | 2367 | 46.1 | 155 | 42.2 | 27 | 39.0 | 16 | 50.0 | 31 |
| Linguistic region | | | | | | | | | | |
| German-speaking Switzerland | 45.4 | 2436 | 46.0 | 155 | 53.1 | 34 | 39.0 | 16 | 30.6 | 19 |
| French-speaking Switzerland | 54.6 | 2935 | 54.0 | 182 | 46.9 | 30 | 61.0 | 25 | 69.4 | 43 |
| Urbanicity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rural | 32.8 | 1749 | 32.5 | 108 | 28.6 | 18 | 30.0 | 12 | 25.8 | 16 |
| Agglomeration | 45.8 | 2443 | 45.2 | 150 | 49.2 | 31 | 42.5 | 17 | 50.0 | 31 |
| City | 21.4 | 1140 | 22.3 | 74 | 22.2 | 14 | 27.5 | 11 | 24.2 | 15 |

M: mean; SD: standard deviation; ISCED: International Standard Classification of Education.

mostly to women (B), 1.1% equally to both women and men (C), 0.7% mostly to men (D), and 1.1% only to men (E). All told, 3% fall into the homo/bisexually attracted group – hereafter “homo/bisexual men” – consisting of the three lattermost groups (C, D, E).

Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the sample by sexual orientation. Men who reported being attracted only to men were significantly more likely to have completed secondary education or higher (73.8%) and to be currently pursuing university studies (19.4%) than all other groups. Men from German-speaking Switzerland are somewhat over-represented among men who reported being attracted equally to both men and women and under-represented among men attracted mostly or only to men.

3.1. Personality by sexual orientation

Table 2 lists mean personality scores by sexual orientation, with a summary of statistically significant differences in pair-wise

comparisons. The four personality traits exhibit different patterns along sexual orientation. Not only does neuroticism-anxiety increase along exclusivity of same-sex orientation, but the mean score for the homo/bisexual groups combined is a full standard deviation higher than that of the men who reported being attracted only to women (3.90 vs. 1.87, $P < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = -1.05$). There are no significant differences between any of the groups for aggression-hostility. Men who reported being attracted only to women demonstrate the highest scores on sociability. Men who reported being attracted only or mostly to women demonstrate significantly higher sensation seeking than the two main homo/bisexual groups, due to differences in the facets of (1) thrill and adventure seeking and (2) disinhibition. The mean scores for the homo/bisexual groups combined are: neuroticism-anxiety ($A < B < 3.90$, $P < 0.001$), aggression-hostility (4.23, ns), sociability ($A > 4.99$, $P < 0.001$), and sensation seeking ($AB > 2.83$, $P < 0.01$).

Table 2
Personality trait scores among young Swiss men by sexual orientation (attraction), C-SURF 2010–11.

| | A Only attracted to women (n = 5371) | | B Predominantly attracted to women (n = 337) | | C Attracted to both men and women (n = 64) | | D Predominantly attracted to men (n = 41) | | E Only attracted to men (n = 62) | | Statistical significance |
|------------------------------------|--|------|--|------|--|------|---|------|--|------|---------------------------|
| | M | SD | M | SD | M | SD | M | SD | M | SD | |
| Personality | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Neuroticism-anxiety (0–10) | 1.87 | 1.90 | 2.69 | 2.13 | 3.64 | 2.60 | 3.66 | 2.97 | 4.34 | 2.73 | $A < B < CDE^*$ |
| Aggression-hostility (0–10) | 4.19 | 2.23 | 3.99 | 2.12 | 4.25 | 2.27 | 4.41 | 2.49 | 4.10 | 2.12 | ns |
| Sociability (0–10) | 5.95 | 2.20 | 5.11 | 2.34 | 4.97 | 2.47 | 5.17 | 2.19 | 4.89 | 2.31 | $A > BCE^{**}$ |
| Sensation seeking (1–5) | 3.05 | 0.87 | 3.16 | 0.92 | 2.76 | 0.94 | 3.11 | 0.92 | 2.72 | 0.90 | $AB > E^*$, $B > C^{**}$ |
| Experience seeking (1–5) | 3.40 | 1.16 | 3.61 | 1.19 | 3.18 | 1.37 | 3.63 | 1.27 | 3.24 | 1.15 | $A < B^*$ |
| Boredom susceptibility (1–5) | 2.90 | 1.02 | 3.03 | 1.09 | 2.85 | 1.01 | 3.15 | 0.94 | 2.85 | 1.12 | ns |
| Thrill and adventure seeking (1–5) | 2.97 | 1.19 | 2.94 | 1.16 | 2.26 | 1.23 | 2.80 | 1.20 | 2.24 | 1.17 | $AB > CE^{***}$ |
| Disinhibition (1–5) | 2.95 | 1.07 | 3.06 | 1.14 | 2.75 | 1.11 | 2.87 | 1.11 | 2.55 | 1.08 | $AB > E^*$ |

M: mean; SD: standard deviation. NB: multiple pair-wise comparisons with Tukey's test correction in ANOVA, with highest significant P value indicated – i.e., $^*P < 0.05$; $^{**}P < 0.01$; $^{***}P < 0.001$; ns: not significant.

Table 3
Mental health status and psychiatric disorder scores among young Swiss men by sexual orientation (attraction), C-SURF 2010–11.

| | A Only attracted to women (n = 5371) | | B Predominantly attracted to women (n = 337) | | C Attracted to both men and women (n = 64) | | D Predominantly attracted to men (n = 41) | | E Only attracted to men (n = 62) | | Statistical significance |
|---|--|------|--|------|--|------|---|------|--|------|---|
| | M | SD | M | SD | M | SD | M | SD | M | SD | |
| Mental health status (in the past 4 weeks, 0–100) | 49.9 | 8.44 | 46.6 | 9.63 | 46.1 | 8.14 | 43.1 | 11.2 | 42.4 | 10.2 | A > BCDE ^{**} , B > E ^{**} |
| Mental health (0–100) | 73.9 | 16.0 | 68.1 | 17.9 | 65.5 | 16.1 | 61.9 | 19.6 | 61.7 | 16.5 | A > BCDE ^{***} , B > E [*] |
| Role-emotional (0–100) | 85.8 | 20.1 | 79.3 | 21.5 | 77.3 | 21.6 | 69.5 | 30.5 | 70.8 | 25.1 | A > BCDE ^{**} , B > DE [*] |
| Vitality (0–100) | 65.4 | 20.0 | 60.1 | 20.9 | 61.3 | 20.4 | 59.1 | 20.0 | 58.1 | 20.6 | A > BE [*] |
| Social functioning (0–100) | 85.0 | 20.8 | 79.1 | 23.2 | 75.4 | 22.9 | 70.7 | 29.5 | 69.8 | 26.8 | A > BCDE ^{**} , B > E [*] |
| Major depression (in the past 2 weeks, 0–60) | 6.75 | 6.89 | 9.00 | 7.77 | 10.8 | 9.39 | 13.4 | 12.9 | 12.2 | 10.5 | A < BCDE ^{***} , B < DE [*] |
| ADHD (in the past 12 months, 0–24) | 5.48 | 4.25 | 6.75 | 4.67 | 5.97 | 4.66 | 6.76 | 5.32 | 7.26 | 4.59 | A < BE [*] |

M: mean; SD: standard deviation; NB: multiple pair-wise comparisons with Tukey's test correction in ANOVA, with highest significant P value indicated – i.e., ^{*}P < 0.05; ^{**}P < 0.01; ^{***}P < 0.001.

3.2. Mental health status by sexual orientation

In Table 3, the SF-12 mental health component summary score (MCS) and individual facet scores decrease along exclusivity of same-sex orientation. The MCS is calculated to have a mean of 50, and the mean scores of groups B and C are nearly half a standard deviation from the mean of group A (Cohen's *d* = 0.36–0.46) whereas those of groups D and E are almost one full standard deviation from the mean of group A (Cohen's *d* = 0.66–0.80). Men who reported being attracted only to women demonstrate significantly better scores than all same-sex attracted groups. In turn, men who reported being attracted mostly to women demonstrate significantly higher scores (with the exception of vitality) than the homo/bisexual groups (C–E) combined: mental health status (A > B > 44.9, *P* < 0.01), mental health (A > B > 63.2, *P* < 0.01), role-emotional (A > B > 73.0, *P* < 0.01), vitality (A > 59.6, *P* < 0.001), and social functioning (A > B > 72.2, *P* < 0.01).

3.3. Psychiatric disorders and suicide attempts by sexual orientation

Table 3 also shows mean scores for major depression in the past 2 weeks and ADHD in the past 12 months. Men who reported being

attracted only to women demonstrate significantly lower mean scores for major depression than all other groups. In turn, men who reported being attracted mostly to women demonstrate significantly lower mean scores than the homo/bisexual groups (C–E) combined (9 vs. 12, *P* < 0.001, Cohen's *d* = –0.32). Men who reported being attracted only to women also demonstrate the lowest mean score for ADHD (A < 6.64, *P* = 0.001).

The prevalences of the three psychiatric disorders and suicide attempt are shown in Table 4. For major depression in the past 2 weeks, the results from two scoring algorithms are shown: (1) DSM-IV and (2) ICD-10. In both instances, men who reported being attracted only to women demonstrate the lowest prevalence (2.3% according to DSM-IV or 6.4% according to ICD-10), and men who reported being attracted mostly to men demonstrate the highest prevalence (17.1% according to DSM-IV and men who reported being attracted only to men the highest prevalence (23.2%) according to ICD-10. The homo/bisexual groups (C–E) have a combined prevalence of 10.2% (95% CI = 5.6–14.8%) according to DSM-IV and 20.4% (95% CI = 14.3–26.5%) according to ICD-10.

Similarly, the results from two scoring algorithms are shown for ADHD in the past 12 months: (1) the 0–6 scoring approach and (2) the 0–24 scoring approach with four strata. In both instances, men

Table 4
Prevalence of psychiatric disorders and suicide attempts among young Swiss men by sexual orientation (attraction), C-SURF 2010–11.

| | A Only attracted to women (n = 5371) | | B Predominantly attracted to women (n = 337) | | C Attracted to both men and women (n = 64) | | D Predominantly attracted to men (n = 41) | | E Only attracted to men (n = 62) | | Statistical significance |
|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|--|------------|---|------------|--|-------------|---|
| | % | 95% CI | % | 95% CI | % | 95% CI | % | 95% CI | % | 95% CI | |
| Major depression (in the past 2 weeks, DSM-IV) | 2.3 | (1.9–2.7) | 3.6 | (1.6–5.6) | 6.3 | (0.3–12.3) | 17.1 | (5.6–28.6) | 9.7 | (2.3–17.1) | χ ² (4, 5860) = 52.3 ^{***} |
| Major depression (in the past 2 weeks, ICD-10) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mild depression | 2.3 | (1.9–2.7) | 3.0 | (1.2–4.8) | 6.3 | (0.3–12.3) | 2.4 | (–2.3–7.1) | 12.9 | (4.6–21.2) | χ ² (4, 5858) = 27.7 ^{***} |
| Moderate depression | 1.2 | (0.9–1.5) | 3.0 | (1.2–4.8) | 4.7 | (–0.5–9.9) | 2.4 | (–2.3–7.1) | 1.6 | (–1.5–4.7) | |
| Severe depression | 1.9 | (1.5–2.3) | 3.0 | (1.2–4.8) | 6.3 | (0.3–12.3) | 14.6 | (3.8–25.4) | 9.7 | (2.3–17.1) | |
| ADHD (in the past 12 months, 0–6 scoring algorithm) | 5.3 | (4.7–5.9) | 10.1 | (6.9–13.3) | 6.3 | (0.3–12.3) | 9.8 | (0.7–18.9) | 16.1 | (7.0–25.2) | χ ² (12, 5858) = 56.0 ^{***} |
| ADHD (in the past 12 months, 0–24 scoring algorithm) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Score 14–17 | 3.1 | (2.6–3.6) | 7.4 | (4.6–10.2) | 3.1 | (–1.1–7.3) | 9.8 | (0.7–18.9) | 8.1 | (1.3–14.9) | χ ² (4, 5860) = 26.9 ^{***} |
| Score 18–24 | 0.6 | (0.4–0.8) | 0.3 | (–0.3–0.9) | 3.1 | (–1.1–7.3) | 2.4 | (–2.3–7.1) | 1.6 | (–1.5–4.7) | |
| Anti-social personality disorder (lifetime) | 15.9 | (14.9–16.9) | 26.2 | (21.5–30.9) | 14.1 | (5.6–22.6) | 19.5 | (7.4–31.6) | 9.7 | (2.3–17.1) | |
| Suicide attempt (in the past 12 months) | 1.2 | (0.9–1.5) | 2.7 | (1.0–4.4) | 9.4 | (2.3–16.5) | 2.4 | (–2.3–7.1) | 4.8 | (–0.5–10.1) | χ ² (4, 5854) = 38.6 ^{***} |

CI: confidence interval; ^{***}P < 0.001.

Table 5
Risk of psychiatric disorders and suicide attempts among young Swiss men by sexual orientation (attraction) with and without controlling for personality traits, C-SURF 2010–11.

| | Major depression (in the past 2 weeks) | | ADHD (in the past 12 months) | | Anti-social personality disorder (lifetime) | | Suicide attempt (in the past 12 months) | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | OR | AOR | OR | AOR | OR | AOR | OR | AOR |
| Only attracted to women | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Predominantly attracted to women | 1.56 (0.85–2.85) | 1.03 (0.55–1.95) | 2.02 (1.39–2.93)*** | 1.36 (0.91–2.03) | 1.87 (1.45–2.42)*** | 1.87 (1.41–2.48)*** | 2.20 (1.09–4.45) [†] | 1.84 (0.89–3.78) |
| Attracted to both men and women | 2.81 (1.01–7.86) [†] | 0.90 (0.29–2.77) | 1.20 (0.43–3.31) | 0.56 (0.18–1.73) | 0.86 (0.43–1.76) | 0.90 (0.41–1.97) | 8.28 (3.45–19.9)*** | 4.35 (1.72–11.0)** |
| Predominantly attracted to men | 8.69 (3.78–20.0)*** | 3.31 (1.26–8.68) [†] | 1.94 (0.69–5.47) | 0.79 (0.26–2.40) | 1.28 (0.59–2.78) | 1.01 (0.42–2.41) | 2.00 (0.27–14.8) | 0.95 (0.12–7.31) |
| Only attracted to men | 4.52 (1.91–10.7)** | 1.14 (0.44–2.92) | 3.45 (1.73–6.85)*** | 1.62 (0.77–3.42) | 0.57 (0.24–1.32) | 0.61 (0.25–1.49) | 4.07 (1.24–13.3) [†] | 1.72 (0.50–5.92) |

OR: crude odds ratio; AOR: adjusted odds ratio adjusted for neuroticism-anxiety, aggression-hostility, sociability, and sensation seeking; [†] $P < 0.05$; ^{**} $P < 0.01$; ^{***} $P < 0.001$.

who reported being attracted only to women demonstrate the lowest prevalence (5.3% according to the 0–6 scoring approach or 3.7% according to the 0–24 scoring approach). Men who reported being attracted only to men demonstrate the highest prevalence (16.1%) according to the 0–24 scoring approach, and men who reported being attracted mostly to men the highest prevalence (12.2%) according to the 0–6 scoring approach. The homo/bisexual groups (C–E) have a combined prevalence of 10.8% (95% CI = 6.1–15.5%) according to the 0–6 scoring approach and 9% (95% CI = 4.7–13.3%) according to the 0–24 scoring approach.

Men who reported being attracted mostly to women demonstrate the highest prevalence of lifetime anti-social personality disorder (ASPD), significantly higher than those of men attracted only to women and the homo/bisexual groups (C–E) combined 13.8% (95% CI = 8.6–19.0%).

All told, 6% (95% CI = 2.4–9.6%) of the men in the homo/bisexual groups (C–E) attempted suicide in the past 12 months, with the highest percentage among men who reported being attracted equally to both men and women.

Table 5 shows the crude and adjusted odds ratios (OR/AOR) for each of the three psychiatric disorders and suicide attempt, with and without controlling for the four personality traits. While different socio-demographic variables were associated independently with each of the four outcomes, controlling for them in the models did not alter the odds ratios (results not shown). In contrast, controlling for personality traits had a large impact on three of the four mental health outcomes. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test for all the models are well above 0.05, suggesting that the estimates fit the data and that the models work. Various tests (tolerance and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) reveal no suggestion of any collinearity between mental health outcomes and personality traits.

For major depression in the past 2 weeks (according to DSM-IV), the homo/bisexual groups (C–E) have significant crude odds ratios ranging from 2.81–8.69, but upon controlling for personality, the AOR fall towards parity for all groups, and increased risk remains only among men who reported being attracted mostly to men (AOR = 3.31, 95% CI = 1.26–8.28). Taken together, the homo/bisexual groups (C–E) have a crude OR of 4.78 (95% CI = 2.81–8.14), which loses significance upon controlling for personality (AOR = 1.46, 95% CI = 0.80–2.65). Neuroticism-anxiety is the only significant personality variable in the model (exp (B) = 1.65, $P < 0.001$), with r^2 of 0.20.

For ADHD in the past 12 months (according to the 0–6 scoring approach), men who reported being attracted mostly to women and men who reported being attracted only to men have significantly higher crude OR for ADHD than the reference group. Upon inclusion, all four personality traits are significant in the

model – the strongest being sensation seeking (exp (B) = 1.59, $P < 0.001$) – and the AOR for sexual orientation lose statistical significance. The homo/bisexual groups (C–E) combined have a crude OR of 2.17 (95% CI = 1.31–3.58), which loses significance upon controlling for personality (AOR = 1.00, 95% CI = 0.58–1.75).

Lifetime anti-social personality disorder (ASPD) is unique in several ways. First, it is the only condition whereby some of the homo/bisexual groups demonstrate lower (but not statistically significant) crude OR than the reference group. The homo/bisexual groups (C–E) combined have a crude OR of 0.84 (95% CI = 0.54–1.32) and an AOR of 0.82 (95% CI = 0.50–1.34). Second, although all four personality traits are significant in the adjusted model – the strongest being sensation seeking (exp (B) = 2.33, $P < 0.001$), with r^2 of 0.20 – the AOR remain largely unchanged. Men who reported being attracted mostly to women demonstrate significantly greater risk of ASPD with crude and adjusted OR of 1.87.

For suicide attempt in the past 12 months, the crude odds ratios resemble those for major depression in the past 2 weeks, except that the eightfold risk is found among men who reported being attracted equally to men and women. After introducing personality traits into the model, both neuroticism-anxiety and aggression-hostility remain significant, and all the AOR lose statistical significance, except for men who reported being attracted equally to both men and women (AOR = 4.35, 95% CI = 1.72–11.0). Together, the homo/bisexual groups (C–E) have a crude OR of 5.10 (95% CI = 2.57–10.1) and an AOR of 2.42 (95% CI = 1.16–5.02).

4. Discussion

Men who reported same-sex attraction demonstrate poorer mental health. Indeed, the findings from C-SURF baseline data confirm the higher prevalences and risk of major depression, suicide attempt, and ADHD among gay/bisexual men in Switzerland and elsewhere. Population prevalences of anti-social personality disorder have been presented for the first time by sexual orientation, with evidence of higher risk in a group reporting some same-sex attraction. There are also striking differences in three out of the four personality traits examined. Importantly, much of the increased risk for mental morbidity among sexual minorities may be accounted for by underlying differences in personality traits.

4.1. Psychiatric disorders and suicide attempts

The mean scores for mental health status in the past 4 weeks are consistent with those found among a community sample of gay men in the 2011 Geneva Gay Men's Health Survey (GGMHS) [56].

This suggests that recent mental health status reported by gay men are evidenced already among young homo/bisexual men, at levels meaningfully different from their heterosexual counterparts, and for all facets measured – i.e., young homo/bisexual men experience higher psychological distress and lower levels of vitality and psycho-social functioning.

The 2-week prevalence of major depression in the three homo/bisexual groups cannot be compared directly to the 12-month prevalence rates reported in most surveys, but the crude odds ratios in this sample are higher than those found in general population studies [7,25,40]. Findings from GGMHS suggest that this age group is particularly affected, since the onset of depression occurs during adolescence for most men [54], and 12-month prevalence (33%) peaks in the 16–20-year-old age group [56].

Three different probabilistic surveys in Switzerland from 2002 yielded 12-month percentages of suicide attempts ranging widely from 3.1–17.1% but consistent OR of 2 for most forms of suicidality among homo/bisexual men aged 16–20 years [55]. In 2011, 7% of the 16–20-year-old age group in both GGMHS and C-SURF reported a suicide attempt in the past 12 months [55,56]. But as with major depression, the crude OR found in this sample is twice those seen in the scientific literature to date, resembling the OR of 5 for lifetime suicide attempt in Switzerland [55] and elsewhere [25,40].

Whereas much of the existing evidence to date shows that gay men have higher risk of internalizing disorders such as mood and anxiety disorders [7,43], our findings show that some groups of men reporting same-sex attraction also demonstrate higher risk of ADHD [17] and ASPD, externalizing conditions which are much more common among men than women.

Recently, studies have begun reporting differences in mental health outcomes within homo/bisexual groups among both adults [5] and adolescents [42], and there has been a growing tendency to report findings for same-sex attraction groups individually [31]. For mental health and personality, the C-SURF data support three distinct categories of sexual attraction, including a “mostly heterosexual” category. In fact, the creation a single group with any same-sex attraction (B–E) would dilute more pronounced differences between heterosexual men (A) and the three homo/bisexual groups (C–E). There is a 10-point span in prevalence estimates between the three homo/bisexual groups for each of the mental health outcomes examined. Although these differences are not statistically significant (perhaps due to sample size limitations), it is noteworthy that the highest prevalences do not cluster in a single group, but are spread across each of the same-sex attracted groups. Of note, such large discrepancies were not apparent in the 2002 Swiss adolescent surveys for suicidality [55]. As such, a decade’s worth of Swiss findings cannot support the conclusion that intermediate categories (B–D) present the highest mental morbidity [34].

Furthermore, the implications of such group differences remain unclear. Although one tendency has been to solidify the existence of the bisexual, mostly heterosexual, and mostly homosexual groups [52], evidence from cohort studies shows that the intermediate categories are particularly fluid in late adolescence and young adulthood, with more than 50% attrition between waves [38,45]. As such, these intermediate groups may be subject to changes in coming to terms with and comfort reporting stigmatized homosexuality as much as actual changes in attraction. Birth cohort data have shown that the percentage of men reporting either any or exclusively same-sex attraction and identity increases significantly with age [13]. New evidence suggests that the actual process of changing towards – but not away from – (reporting) same-sex attraction is associated with the greatest health risks [15], lending further support to the importance of stigma and homosexual developmental milestones

such as coming out and the psycho-social conditions accompanying them [41,57,61]. Future waves of C-SURF may permit corroboration using European cohort data.

4.2. Personality

C-SURF has collected the first personality profile for a representative sample of men in Switzerland and has also provided the first population norms for five-factor model personality traits by sexual orientation anywhere in the world. Although assessments from the early 1960s already suggested higher neuroticism among homosexual men [60], the intersection between personality and sexual orientation has not been widely researched in recent decades. Lippa has repeatedly demonstrated somewhat higher neuroticism (akin to neuroticism-anxiety), somewhat higher agreeableness (akin to aggression-hostility), and no differences along extraversion (akin to sociability) among adult gay men [32,33] in multiple convenience samples, whereas our findings show large differences in neuroticism-anxiety (Cohen’s $d = -0.86$ for all three homo/bisexual groups combined vs. the reference group), moderate differences in sociability (Cohen’s $d = 0.43$ for all three homo/bisexual groups combined vs. the reference group) by sexual orientation, and no differences in aggression-hostility.

As such, these findings can only confirm the directionality of higher neuroticism, but not Lippa’s over-arching conclusion of gender shift among homo/bisexual men – i.e., heterosexual-homosexual differences in personality traits mirror male-female differences with homo/bisexual men shifted towards a female personality profile [32,33]. A twin study also failed to support gender shift, finding higher neuroticism and psychoticism among both non-heterosexual men and women [63]. With the exception of men who reported being predominantly attracted to women, our findings confirm that personality factor scores are largely indistinguishable between gay men and bisexual men [32,33]. Still, just as education and cultural/linguistic region both appear to play some role in the declaration of one’s sexual attraction in this age group, personality traits may also play a role in how sexual orientation is expressed – e.g., higher experience seeking among men who reported being predominantly attracted to women compared to their exclusively heterosexual counterparts.

Just as personality traits have been shown to differ consistently by sex [12,48] and country [47], this study further underscores important differences by sexual orientation which merit further investigation. Just as personality has been posited as a possible explanation for sex and national differences in mental morbidity, these findings demonstrate that controlling for personality traits slashes risks by half, with most associations of increased risk among homo/bisexual groups losing statistical significance. Indeed, personality has been linked to depression [26], ADHD [37,39], personality disorders [44,51], and suicidality [46]. Even with sexual orientation in the models, personality traits continue to demonstrate a large effect on major depression and ASPD and a medium effect on ADHD and suicide attempt, with individual traits demonstrating distinctive impact for different conditions as evidenced or surmised in the literature.

The interpretation of these findings must be viewed against open questions in the scientific field of personality at large. In terms of the literature linking personality and psychiatric disorders, three basic mechanisms have been posited:

- traits influence disorders (vulnerability and pathoplasty models);
- disorders influence traits (scar and complication models);
- common cause model for traits and disorders; all of which have some empirical support even though the actual mechanisms still need to be elucidated [28].

Consistent with most work to date, our analyses take the first mechanism of traits influencing disorders as its theoretical underpinning even though it must be noted that the analysis of baseline data precludes actual inferences of causality. If traits influence the onset and/or progression of disorders, controlling for that effect may help explain the discrepancies in mental morbidity by sexual orientation. Indeed, the present findings suggest that this mechanism may account for most of the increased risk associated with sexual orientation for 3 out of the 4 mental health outcomes examined, constituting evidence that a vulnerable personality profile contributes to actual psychiatric morbidity among sexual minorities [63].

However, can personality traits be measured free of current state? Actual measurements of personality traits have been shown to vary with mood state [21], so as in the second mechanism, high levels of current depressive mood, for example, may be responsible in part for high scores in neuroticism-anxiety among homo/bisexual men. By extension, can adverse life events influence personality traits? The research here is equivocal, but findings suggest a feedback loop whereby life events can influence personality traits, which in turn influence life events [8,36]. For this sample, it would mean that stressful life events around interpersonal conflict due to stigma/discrimination and homosexual developmental milestones [57] may indeed shape personality but also how subsequent life events are experienced – e.g., as adverse or not.

As for the third mechanism of common cause, family and twin studies suggest an important genetic component in both personality traits and psychiatric disorders [6], and a recent meta-analysis suggests that some of the genetic and/or environmental factors are likely shared [28]. Such findings may spur new models of personality and psychopathology which would better account for phenomena such as high psychiatric comorbidity [29]. Twin studies have also suggested shared familial factors – both genetic and environmental – between sexual orientation and psychiatric disorders [17,64] as well as overlapping genetic factors between sexual orientation and personality [63]. Such findings may similarly spur new thinking in the field of sexual minority health, at the very least underscoring the relevance of familial/genetic factors and personality, which have largely been ignored to date. While there are some plausible psychobiological mechanisms [62], it is unclear:

- whether there is in fact a three-way overlap between sexual orientation, psychiatric disorders, and personality;
- what those precise genetic factors are;
- what the specific mechanisms of causation would be.

It must be noted that these findings on genetic factors are accompanied by findings which show that environmental factors account for just over half of the variation between sexual orientation and psychiatric disorders [64] and between sexual orientation and personality [63].

4.3. Limitations

As for sampling, a mini-questionnaire among 94% of young men informed about the study permitted quantification of non-response bias by socio-demographics and substance use [50], with heaviest users least likely to participate in the full study at baseline. Since such users are more likely to be comorbid with mental disorders and demonstrate a specific personality profile, the values presented here should be considered conservative. The sampling procedure recruited men as they presented for fitness assessment, thereby including most men subsequently declared unfit for military service and all men opting for civil service.

While this increases the chances of including homosexual men in this sample, the extent to which actual self-reporting of health status may be influenced by sexual orientation remains unknown.

A conscious effort was made to limit the number of variables, and while the number of distinct variables included in this paper cannot be considered high, there may be Type 1 error due to multiple comparisons. A conscious effort was also made to limit the number of bivariable statistical tests, and findings with ANOVA are corrected for multiple comparisons. While a Bonferroni correction across all analyses would correct for Type 1 error, such a conservative approach may also mask associations worthy of consideration.

There are also noteworthy limitations in the questionnaire. First, the selection of the mental health outcomes and personality traits was determined in large part by interests in substance use. As such, some disorders of general and particular interest in sexual orientation were not assessed. Even so, prevalence estimates for anti-social personality disorder could be presented by sexual orientation for the very first time. Second, even though valid instruments were chosen for assessment of possible caseness, the time frames of the mental health outcomes were not consistent. Third, only four factors in a five-factor model of personality were included. The only factor not assessed directly is activity, which has been shown to load onto a single factor with sociability [1]. While such five-factor models offer the broadest and empirically robust coverage of general personality functioning [28,29], they do not cover the entire spectrum of personality models or traits.

Along these lines, the assessment of suicidality was very limited in the baseline assessment. Given the salience of suicidality among young men, it would be valuable to have data on both lifetime and 12-month suicide attempt and suicidal ideation as well as follow-up questions on help-seeking and severity. Sexual orientation was assessed by a single question on sexual attraction, albeit with negligible non-response. Similar longitudinal surveys assess all three main dimensions of sexual orientation: sexual attraction, sexual behavior, and sexual identity [5,13,16,45]. As such, it is possible to assess the developments and changes in sexual orientation and their relationship to health outcomes. Given the age of C-SURF respondents, it is particularly appropriate to include additional questions on sexual identity and coming out.

5. Conclusions

Consistent with self-reported age of onset [54,55], these findings present clear evidence of increased psychiatric morbidity among homo/bisexual men already in late adolescence and early adulthood. In fact, anti-social personality disorder can be added to the long list of psychiatric disorders whereby sexual orientation appears to be relevant. These findings also reveal that differences in specific psychiatric syndromes are accompanied by differences in underlying personality traits along sexual orientation. Although various interpretations are possible given current understanding, psychiatric disorders alone do not and cannot present the whole picture of mental health nor account for the increased risk evidenced among sexual minorities. Rather, it may be informative to also take a deeper level of psychology – e.g., general personality functioning – into account. Consistent with self-reported causes of major depression [57] and suicide attempt [53] among gay men, recent evidence from twin studies [17,64], and general theories of personality [11], environmental factors appear to interact with “basic tendencies” of personality to render some individuals more/less prone to mental disorder [14]. Although largely ignored in the sexual minority health literature to date, personality may help

explain why some gay/bisexual men succumb to mental morbidity whereas others do not in the face of challenging environmental stressors. As such, personality may merit greater attention in the study of psychiatric morbidity and suicidality among sexual minorities as potential factors accounting not only in part for the higher prevalence and risk but perhaps also for early-onset, chronicity, and comorbidity evidenced in this population. Personality also points to the potential relevance of personality disorders, which have not been studied systematically among sexual minorities. Both personality and personality disorders suggest that clinical treatment of specific Axis I disorders in such populations may need to be accompanied by assessment and treatment of underlying and long-standing psychological vulnerability for improved therapeutic outcomes.

Disclosure of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest concerning this article.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the following members of the C-SURF team for their valuable support: Charlotte Eidenbenz and Joseph Studer from the University Hospital of Lausanne and Caroline Bähler, Petra Dermota, Natalia Estévez, and Simon Foster at the University of Zurich. We also thank Michael Häusermann, Dialogai, and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments in improving the manuscript.

Funding: This work was financed by the Swiss National Science Foundation (33CS30_139467).

References

- [1] Aluja A, García Ó, García LF. Replicability of the three, four, and five Zuckerman's personality super-factors: exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis of the EPQ-RS, ZKPQ and NEO-PI-R. *Pers Individ Differences* 2004;36(5):1093–108.
- [2] Aluja A, Rossier J, García LF, Angleitner A, Kuhlman M, Zuckerman M. A cross-cultural shortened form of the ZKPQ (ZKPQ-50-cc) adapted to English, French, German, and Spanish languages. *Pers Individ Differences* 2006;41:619–28.
- [3] Baggio S, Studer J, Mohler-Kuo M, Daepfen J-B, Gmel G. Profiles of drug users in Switzerland and effects of early-onset intensive use of alcohol, tobacco, and cannabis on other illicit drug use. *Swiss Med Weekly* 2013;143:w13805.
- [4] Bech P, Rasmussen N-A, Raabæk Olsen L, Noerholm V, Abildgaard W. The sensitivity and specificity of the Major Depression Inventory, using the Present State Examination as the index of diagnostic validity. *J Affect Disord* 2001;66:159–64.
- [5] Bostwick WB, Boyd CJ, Hughes TL, McCabe SE. Dimensions of sexual orientation and the prevalence of mood and anxiety disorders in the United States. *Am J Public Health* 2010;100(3):468–75.
- [6] Bouchard TJ, McGue M. Genetic and environmental influences on human psychological differences. *J Neurobiol* 2003;54(1):4–45.
- [7] Chakraborty A, McManus S, Brugha TS, Bebbington P, King M. Mental health of the non-heterosexual population of England. *Br J Psychiatry* 2011;198:143–8.
- [8] Cobb-Clark DA, Schurer S. The stability of Big Five personality traits. *Econ Lett* 2012;115:11–5.
- [9] Cohen J. *Statistical Power Analyses for the Behavioral Sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1988.
- [10] Corboz J, Dowsett G, Mitchell A, Couch M, Agius P, Pitts M. Feeling queer and blue: a review of the literature on depression and related issues among gay, lesbian, bisexual, and other homosexually active people. Melbourne: La Trobe University; 2008.
- [11] Costa PT, McCrae RR. Personality disorders and the five-factor model of personality. *J Personal Disord* 1990;4:362–71.
- [12] Costa PT, Terracciano A, McCrae RR. Gender differences in personality traits across cultures: robust and surprising findings. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2001;81(2):322–31.
- [13] Dickson N, van Roode T, Cameron C, Paul C. Stability and change in same-sex attraction, experience, and identity by sex and age in a New Zealand birth cohort. *Arch Sex Behav* 2013;42:752–63.
- [14] Duggan C, Milton J, Egan V, McCarthy L, Palmer B, Lee A. Theories of general personality and mental disorder. *Br J Psychiatry* 2003;182(Suppl. 44):s19–23.
- [15] Everett B. Sexual orientation identity change and mental health: a longitudinal analysis. Unpublished manuscript, 2012.
- [16] Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Ridder EM, Beautrais AL. Sexual orientation and mental health in a birth cohort of young adults. *Psychol Med* 2005;35:971–81.
- [17] Frisell T, Lichtenstein P, Rahman Q, Långström N. Psychiatric morbidity associated with same-sex sexual behaviour: influence of minority stress and familial factors. *Psychol Med* 2010;40:315–24.
- [18] Haas AP, Eliason M, Mays VM, Mathy RM, Cochran SD, D'Augelli AR, et al. Suicide and suicide risk in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender populations: review and recommendations. *J Homosex* 2011;58(1):10–51.
- [19] Hoyle RH, Stephenson MT, Palmgreen P, Pugzles Lorch E, Lewis Donohew R. Reliability and validity of a brief measure of sensation seeking. *Pers Individ Differences* 2002;32:401–14.
- [20] Huang Y, Kotov R, de Girolamo G, Preti A, Angermeyer M, Benjet C, et al. DSM-IV personality disorders in the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. *Br J Psychiatry* 2009;195:46–53.
- [21] Katz R, McGuffin P. Neuroticism in familial depression. *Psychol Med* 1987;17:155–61.
- [22] Kessler RC, Ustun TB. The World Mental Health (WMH) Survey initiative version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI). *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2005;13(2):93–121.
- [23] Kessler RC, Adler L, Ames M, Delmer O, Faraone S, Hiripi E, et al. The World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS): a short screening scale for use in the general population. *Psychol Med* 2005;35:245–56.
- [24] Kessler RC, Adler LA, Gruber MJ, Sarawate CA, Spencer T, Van Brunt DL. Validity of the World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS) Screener in a representative sample of health plan members. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2007;16(2):52–65.
- [25] King M, Semlyen J, Tai SS, Killaspy H, Osborn D, Popelyuk D, et al. A systematic review of mental disorder, suicide, and deliberate self-harm in lesbian, gay and bisexual people. *BMC Psychiatry* 2008;8:70.
- [26] Klein DN, Kotov R, Bufferd SJ. Personality and depression: explanatory models and review of the evidence. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2011;7:269–95.
- [27] Kokkevi A, Rotsika V, Arapaki A, Richardson C. Adolescents' self-reported suicide attempts, self-harm thoughts and their correlates across 17 European countries. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2012;53(4):381–9.
- [28] Kotov R, Gamez W, Schmidt F, Watson D. Linking "big" personality traits to anxiety, depressive, and substance use disorders: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Bull* 2010;136(5):768–821.
- [29] Krueger RF. Continuity of axes I and II: toward a unified model of personality, personality disorders, and clinical disorders. *J Personal Disord* 2005;19(3):233–61.
- [30] Kulkin HS, Chauvin EA, Perle GA. Suicide among gay and lesbian adolescents and young adults: a review of the literature. *J Homosex* 2000;40(1):1–29.
- [31] Lindley LL, Walsermann KM, Carter Jr JW. The association of sexual orientation measures with young adults' health-related outcomes. *Am J Public Health* 2012;102(6):1177–85.
- [32] Lippa RA. Sexual orientation and personality. *Annu Rev Sex Res* 2005;16:119–53.
- [33] Lippa RA. Sex differences and sexual orientation differences in personality: findings from the BBC internet survey. *Arch Sex Behav* 2008;37:173–87.
- [34] Loosier PS, Dittus PJ. Group differences in risk across three domains using an expanded measure of sexual orientation. *J Primary Prevention* 2010;31:261–72.
- [35] Marshal MP, Dietz LJ, Friedman MS, Stall R, Smith HA, McGinley J, et al. Suicidality and depression disparities between sexual minority and heterosexual youth. *J Adolesc Health* 2011;49:115–23.
- [36] Middeldorp CM, Cath DC, Beem AL, Willemssen G, Boomsma DI. Life events, anxious depression and personality: a prospective and genetic study. *Psychol Med* 2008;38:1557–65.
- [37] Nigg JT, John OP, Blaskey LG, Huang-Pollock CL, Willcutt EG, Hinshaw SP, et al. Big Five dimensions and ADHD symptoms: Links between personality traits and clinical symptoms. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2002;83:451–69.
- [38] Ott MQ, Corliss HL, Wypij D, Rosario M, Bryn Austin S. Stability and change in self-reported sexual orientation identity in young people: application of mobility metrics. *Arch Sex Behav* 2011;40:519–32.
- [39] Parker JDA, Majeski SA, Collin T. ADHD symptoms and personality: relationships with the five-factor model. *Pers Individ Differences* 2004;36:977–87.
- [40] Plöderl M, Sauer J, Fartacek R. Suizidalität und psychische Gesundheit von homo- und bisexuellen Männern und Frauen—eine Metaanalyse internationaler Zufallsstichproben. *Verhaltenstherapie & psychosoziale Praxis* 2006;38(2):283–302.
- [41] Remafedi G, Farrow J, Deischer RW. Risk factors for attempted suicide in gay and bisexual youth. *Pediatrics* 1991;87:869–75.
- [42] Robinson JP, Espelage DL. Inequities in educational and psychological outcomes between LGBTQ and straight students in middle and high school. *Educ Res* 2011;40(7):315–30.
- [43] Sandfort TGM, de Graaf R, Bijl RV, Schnabel P. Same-sex sexual behavior and psychiatric disorders: Findings from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS). *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2001;58:85–91.
- [44] Saulsman LM, Page AC. The five-factor model and personality disorder empirical literature: a meta-analytical review. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2004;23:1055–85.
- [45] Savin-Williams RC, Joyner K, Rieger G. Prevalence and stability of self-reported sexual orientation identity during young adulthood. *Arch Sex Behav* 2012;41:103–10.
- [46] Savitz JB, Cupido C-L, Ramesar RS. Trends in suicidology: personality as an endophenotype for molecular genetic investigations. *PLoS Med* 2002;3(5):e107.

- [47] Schmitt DP, Allik J, McCrae RR, Benet-Martínez V. The geographical distribution of Big Five personality traits: patterns and profiles of human self-description across 56 nations. *J Cross Cult Psychol* 2007;38(2):173–212.
- [48] Schmitt DP, Realo A, Voracek M, Allik J. Why can't a man be more like a woman? Sex differences in Big Five personality traits across 55 cultures. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2008;94(1):168–82.
- [49] Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J Clin Psychiatr* 1998;59(Suppl. 20):22–57.
- [50] Studer J, Baggio S, Mohler-Kuo M, Dermota P, Gaume J, Bertholet N, et al. Examining non-response bias in substance use research—are late respondents proxies for non-respondents? *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2013;132(1–2):316–23.
- [51] Trull TJ. The five-factor model of personality disorder and DSM-5. *J Pers* 2012;80:1697–720.
- [52] Vrangalova Z, Savin-Williams RC. Mostly heterosexual and mostly gay/lesbian: evidence for new sexual orientation identities. *Arch Sex Behav* 2012;41:85–101.
- [53] Wang J, Plöderl M, Häusermann M, Weiss M. Understanding suicide attempts among gay men from their self-perceived causes. Submitted for publication.
- [54] Wang J, Häusermann M, Ajdacic-Gross V, Aggleton P, Weiss MG. High prevalence of mental disorders and comorbidity in the Geneva Gay Men's Health Survey. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2007;42:414–20.
- [55] Wang J, Häusermann M, Wydler H, Mohler-Kuo M, Weiss MG. Suicidality and sexual orientation among men in Switzerland: Findings from 3 probability surveys. *J Psychiatr Res* 2012;46:980–6.
- [56] Wang J, Häusermann M, Berrut S, Weiss MG. The impact of a depression awareness campaign on mental health literacy and mental morbidity among gay men. *J Affect Disord* 2013;150(2):306–12.
- [57] Wang J, Häusermann M, Weiss MG. Mental health literacy and the experience of depression in a community sample of gay men. *J Affect Disord* 2014; 155:200–7.
- [58] Ware JE, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-item short-form health survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care* 1996;34(3):220–33.
- [59] Weinstock LM, Whisman MA. Neuroticism as a common feature of the depressive and anxiety disorders: a test of the reviewed integrative hierarchical model in a national sample. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2006;115(1): 68–74.
- [60] Wells BWP, Schofield CBS. Personality characteristics of homosexual men suffering from sexually transmitted diseases. *Br J Vener Dis* 1972;48:75–8.
- [61] Wichstrøm L, Hegna K. Sexual orientation and suicide attempt: a longitudinal study of the general Norwegian adolescent population. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2003;112(1):144–51.
- [62] Zietsch BP. Explanations for elevated psychiatric vulnerability in non-heterosexuals: environmental stressors, genetics, and the HPA and HPG axes. In: Uehara T, editor. *Psychiatric Disorders – Worldwide Advances*. Rijeka: InTech; 2011.
- [63] Zietsch BP, Verweij KJH, Bailey JM, Wright MJ, Martin NG. Sexual orientation and psychiatric vulnerability: a twin study on neuroticism and psychoticism. *Arch Sex Behav* 2011;40:133–42.
- [64] Zietsch BP, Verweij KJH, Heath AC, Madden PAF, Martin NG, Nelson EC, et al. Do shared etiological factors contribute to the relationship between sexual orientation and depression. *Psychol Med* 2012;42:521–32.
- [65] Zuckerman M. Zuckerman Personality Questionnaire (ZKPQ): an alternative five-factorial model. In: De Raad B, Perugini M, editors. *Big Five Assessment*. Göttingen: Hogrefe & Huber Publishers; 2002. p. 377–96.



Erratum

Erratum to “Psychiatric disorders, suicidality, and personality among young men by sexual orientation” [Eur. Psych. 29 (2014) 514–22]



J. Wang^{a,b,*}, M. Dey^a, L. Soldati^c, M.G. Weiss^{b,d}, G. Gmel^e, M. Mohler-Kuo^a

^a Institute for Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zurich, Switzerland

^b Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Socinstrasse 57, 4002 Basel, Switzerland

^c Department of Mental Health and Psychiatry, Geneva University Hospital, rue de Lausanne 20, 1201 Geneva, Switzerland

^d University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

^e Alcohol Treatment Center, University Hospital of Lausanne, avenue Beaumont 21 bis, Pavillon 2, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland

Several passages in the published article were printed incorrectly. The corrected layout is presented below. The publisher regrets the error.

At the end of the Introduction:

This paper addresses three psychiatric disorders in three different classes and suicide attempt as well as broad personality traits by sexual orientation. In addition to presenting:

- updated prevalences of major depression, suicide attempt, and ADHD by sexual orientation; it contributes novel findings to the scientific literature by presenting:
- prevalences and risk of anti-social personality disorder by sexual orientation and;
- population norms for five-factor model personality traits by sexual orientation, and;
- by assessing the potential mediating role of personality traits on increased risk of psychiatric disorders and suicide attempt among homo/bisexual men.

In section 4.2, Personality:

In terms of the literature linking personality and psychiatric disorders, three basic mechanisms have been posited:

- traits influence disorders (vulnerability and pathoplasty models);
 - disorders influence traits (scar and complication models);
 - common cause model for traits and disorders;
- all of which have some empirical support even though the actual mechanisms still need to be elucidated [28].

In the Conclusions, “early onset” should not have been hyphenated:

As such, personality may merit greater attention in the study of psychiatric morbidity and suicidality among sexual minorities as potential factors accounting not only in part for the higher prevalence and risk but perhaps also for early onset, chronicity, and comorbidity evidenced in this population.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2014.05.001>

* Corresponding author. Institute for Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zurich, Switzerland. Tel.: +41 44 634 46 49; fax: +41 44 634 49 86.

E-mail address: jwang@ifspm.uzh.ch (J. Wang).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2014.10.006>
0924-9338/